

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Mood, panic and somatoform disorders in general Turkish outpatient clinic

Mine Özkan^a, Nazmiye Kocaman^a, Sedat Özkan^a,
Sacide Erden^b, Şeref Demirel^b and Murat Dilmener^b

^aDepartment of Consultation Liaison Psychiatry, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

^bDepartment of Internal Medicine, Istanbul Faculty of Medicine, Istanbul, Turkey

Address for correspondence: Mine Özkan, MD, Istanbul Üniversitesi İstanbul Tıp Fakültesi Psikiyatri Anabilim Dalı, Konsültasyon Liyezon Psikiyatrisi Bilim Dalı Çapa/İstanbul/Turkey.
e-mail: mineozkan_klp@yahoo.com

Keywords: Depression – Panic disorder – Somatoform disorder – Patient Health Questionnaire – Beck Depression Inventory – Unexplained symptoms – Outpatient

ABSTRACT

Background: This investigation addressed prevalence of mood, panic and somatoform disorders in patients who applied to an internal medicine outpatient clinic with various systemic complaints. Additionally, the goal was to determine differences in the patients whose symptoms which could not be explained medically and those whose symptoms were determined to be of medical etiology.

Methods: The 700 patients included in this study were randomly selected between February 2005 and May 2005. A semi-structured interview form, the Revised Brief Patient Health Questionnaire (Brief PHQ-r) and the Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) were utilized.

Results: The mean age of patients was

46.17 ± 15.93 (18–95) years; 79.4% of the patients were women. Of those who applied to the clinic, 27.1% were unable to be medically diagnosed and thus had unexplained symptoms. A psychiatric diagnosis, according to Brief PHQ-r criteria, was rendered in 31% of the patients. The most frequently occurring psychiatric disorder was depression, seen in 21.4% of the patients. The average BDI score was 11.93 ± 8.41 (0–46). BDI scores of 17 and above occurred at a rate of 23.4%.

Conclusions: The presence of a psychiatric disorder and the BDI score were significantly higher in women, patients having less education and those having unexplained physical complaints.

Introduction

A significant number of patients coming to internal medicine outpatient clinics and primary care units have psychiatric disorders¹⁻⁹. Findings vary according to sampling population and assessment procedures. The frequency of psychiatric disorders in primary care settings ranges from between 1% and 47%, regardless of assessment procedures used¹⁻⁴. When the Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders (PRIME-MD) was employed, threshold/sub-threshold psychiatric disorder was reported to be 35–42.5%^{5,6}. In one study, using the General Health Questionnaire (GHQ), the rate of mental disorders was found to be 33.2%⁷ while another

study using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ) reported an incidence of psychiatric disorders at a rate of 28%⁸. In a study conducted at a general internal medicine polyclinic in Spain, using ICD-9 criteria, psychiatric disorders were found to occur at a rate of 46.9%⁹.

Medically unexplained symptoms are a common problem across general medical populations. Presentations of psychiatric disorders are typically associated with anxiety, mood or somatoform disorder. In one study¹⁰, the prevalence of psychiatric disorder in patients whose symptoms can be explained medically was found to be 15%. In patients who have medically unexplained symptoms, this rate has been reported to be as high as 38%.

Medically unexplained symptoms are an important problem in general medicine not only because of their prevalence but also because of the demands they place on the available health service resources. Given the fledging state of primary care and family physician practices in Turkey, compared with that in many Western nations, it is evident that the Turkish healthcare system is as yet ill-prepared to handle any potential additional demands placed on them¹¹. Generally, patients who have one or more complaint regarding their health and who live in major cities, tend to seek assistance from general internal medicine polyclinics located at large hospitals. It is known, however, that the psychological problems in patients seeking help at primary care units mostly appear as physical symptoms. Hence, physicians who are not trained in psychiatry lean in the direction of explaining these physical complaints in physical terms rather than psychological ones.

Most physical complaints that cannot be explained are usually termed “functional” and are neither investigated nor treated. Psychiatric disorders in primary care are not sufficiently understood in Turkish clinic populations. In one Turkish study, it was found that as many as 80% of patients seeking medical attention in a primary care setting were suffering from depression and had not received the correct diagnosis¹². Since psychiatric disorders are most frequently seen in primary health care, the concern for such disorders in such settings becomes clearer.

The current investigation had three main objectives:

- To determine the prevalence of depression, panic and somatoform disorder.
- To assess the level of depression in patients who sought help at an internal medicine outpatient clinic for a variety of physical complaints.
- To determine the proportion of patients at such clinics who had medically unexplained symptoms in order to specify the rate at which a psychiatric diagnosis could be applied to patients in this group.

Methods

This study included patients who visited the General Internal Medicine Polyclinic of the Istanbul Faculty of Medicine at Istanbul University for reasons other than administrative ones. Seven hundred patients who came to the clinic between February 2005 and May 2005, were over 18 years of age, were literate, who agreed to participate in this study after being informed of its intent, did not meet the criteria for mental retardation and/or did not have a diagnosed comorbid psychotic disorder. Patients were randomly selected for inclusion in the study.

The semi-structured interview form (consisting of socio-demographics, a system of presenting physical complaints, medical diagnosis, and evidence of comorbid chronic disease) was used. Internists working at the polyclinic were instructed to specify which of the complaints made by the patients could be explained medically and which complaints could not.

The assessment instruments used were the Revised Brief Patient Health Questionnaire (Brief PHQ-r) and Beck Depression Inventory (BDI). Initially developed by Beck et al.¹³, the reliability and validity of Turkish version of BDI was performed by Hisli¹⁴.

The Brief PHQ-r is a recently developed scale. The PHQ⁸ was developed by Spitzer et al., who also developed the PRIME-MD¹⁵, which is used for making initial diagnoses in primary care settings. Recently published studies analyzing sensitivity and specificity scores have demonstrated that the brief version of the PHQ is a useful tool for the detection of panic disorder and major/minor depression¹⁶⁻¹⁹. Taking into consideration the extent to which general physical symptoms stem from psychological factors, four additional questions related to somatoform disorders were utilized. They were designed to determine whether or not the general physical symptoms presented had a basis in organic disease, and they were added to the Brief PHQ scale by Çorapçıoğlu and Özer²⁰, thus creating the Brief PHQ-r.

The Brief PHQ-r form contains self-rating responses that are evaluated for psychiatric disorders by psychiatrists who use the DSM-IV²¹ as a guideline. The diagnostic performance of the scale is as follows:

1. For any diagnosis $\kappa=0.567$, sensitivity 79.0%, specificity 82.9%;
2. For major/minor depressive disorder $\kappa=0.536$, sensitivity 76%, specificity 85.3%;
3. For panic disorder $\kappa=0.640$, sensitivity 74.4%, specificity 98.4%; and
4. For somatoform disorder $\kappa=0.476$, sensitivity 61.9% and specificity 92.5%¹⁹.

Results were analyzed using SPSS version 11.0. Independent Samples *t*-test, Chi Square Test and, if required, Fisher's exact test and the Spearman correlation test were used.

Results

The patients who were included in this study had the following demographic characteristics:

1. Mean age was 46.17 ± 15.93 (18–95), 79.4% were women
2. 50% were high school graduates
3. 65.6% were married
4. 43.7% were housewives
5. 86.7 % were of medium income level

The distribution of bodily complaints (Table 1) indicated that 28.3% of the patients had multiple system complaints, 16.3% of which consisted of gastrointestinal complaints. 27.1% did not receive a medical diagnosis and had unexplained symptoms. Patients having unexplained symptoms fell in the 18–29 age group to a degree that was statistically significant ($P < 0.001$).

The Brief PHQ provided a psychiatric diagnosis at a rate of 31%. In the distribution of psychiatric diagnoses, the most frequently occurring disorder was mood disorder (13.7% dysthymic disorder and 4.7% major depression), somatoform disorder (5.3%), panic disorder (3.6%), and comorbid psychiatric disorders (3%) (Table 2).

Psychiatric diagnoses varied significantly along gender and educational levels. Psychiatric disorders were found to be greater in women ($P < 0.001$) and in those with less education ($P = 0.001$). In patients presenting symptoms with physical disease, the prevalence of psychiatric disorder was 25.7%. In those who presented unexplained medical symptoms, the rate was 45.3% ($P < 0.001$) (Table 3).

The average BDI score was found to be 11.93 ± 8.41 (0–46), with 23.4% having BDI scores of 17 or greater. There was a positive correlation between age and BDI scores ($r = 0.077$; $P = 0.04$). Those patients whose symptoms were medically unexplained ($t = 2.186$; $P = 0.02$), women ($t = 4.650$; $P < 0.001$), and with less education ($F = 7.960$; $P < 0.001$) showed significantly higher levels of BDI scores (Table 4).

Discussion

There are numerous instruments developed to diagnose the most frequently occurring mental illnesses in primary care^{22,23}. The PRIME-MD is the first of these scales to have been developed for this purpose¹⁵. Reliability and validity studies have been conducted for Turkey²⁴. The PRIME-MD is a scale that is evaluated by a clinician and is able to diagnose psychiatric disorder. It poses some difficulties in

use, however. It consists of two forms, pre-training is necessary for its use, and moreover, primary care physicians find some of the contents of the diagnosis categories complex. The scale is used frequently in many studies^{5,25-27} but can not be used sufficiently in primary care practice.

In our study, the psychiatric diagnosis was made in 31% of the cases. According to Penayo et al. 1990³, while the prevalence of psychiatric disorder in the general population is 20%, it is 47% in primary care settings. Standardized methods used in other studies put this figure at between 14% and 36%^{28,29}. In Turkey, while mental illnesses in the general population occur at a frequency of 17.2%³⁰, these disorders appear at a rate of 20–30% in primary care settings³¹.

In our study, major and minor depression appeared at a rate of 4.7% and 13.7%, respectively, for a total depressive disorder rate of 21.4%. Other studies estimate this number between 22.6%³² and 29.1%³³. In a study of the prevalence of depression in primary care in Germany, a profile similar to that found in Turkey emerged, with major depression occurring at 4.2% and minor depression at 11.3%³⁴. Still other studies carried out in primary care settings indicate major depression rates of 7.3%³⁵, 13.5%³², 13.9%⁶, 14.1%³⁶, and 18.9%³⁷.

In the current study, incidences of BDI scores of 17 and above (severe depression) stood at 23.4%. In a study of the prevalence and detection of depressive disorders in a Croatian primary setting³⁸, 48.1% of the subjects investigated (a total of 500) were determined to have mild, moderate or severe depression, as determined by BDI scores. Positive correlations were found among depression and gender, age, marital status, parity and level of education. Similar, significant relationships were found in the current investigation.

The prevalence of other psychiatric disorders was also determined in the current study. For example, a rate of 5.2% was seen in panic disorders. This figure has been reported as 3%³⁵, 6.2%³⁶, 8.3% in other studies³⁷. Somatoform disorder appeared at a rate of 7.7%. In the literature, such varied rates as 11.1%³⁹, 18%⁶, and 35.9%⁴⁰ have been reported.

Table 1. Distribution of bodily complaints

Bodily complaints	n	%
Cardiovascular	59	8.4
Musculoskeletal	92	13.1
Gastrointestinal	114	16.3
Nephrologic	18	2.5
Endocrine	74	10.6
Neurologic	17	2.4
Respiratory	47	6.7
Infection	28	4
Haematologic	29	4.1
Dermatology and allergy	24	3.6
Multi system complaints	198	28.3

Table 2. Distribution of psychiatric diagnosis

Psychiatric diagnosis	n	%
No diagnosis	483	69
Minor depression	96	13.7
Somatoform disorder	37	5.3
Major depression	33	4.7
Panic disorder	25	3.6
Minor depression and somatoform disorder	10	1.4
Major depression and panic disorder	9	1.3
Minor depression and panic disorder	2	0.3

Table 3. Correlation of psychiatric diagnosis with medical diagnosis, gender and education

	No psychiatric diagnosis n (%)	Psychiatric diagnosis n (%)	P
Medical diagnosis			<0.001
Negative (unexplained)	104 (54.7)	86 (45.3)	
Positive	379 (74.3)	131 (25.7)	
Gender			<0.001
Women	360 (64.7)	196 (35.3)	
Men	123 (85.4)	21 (14.6)	
Education			0.001
Literate	27 (57.4)	20 (42.6)	
Primary	137 (61.7)	85 (38.3)	
Intermediate level	45 (73.8)	16 (26.2)	
High school	98 (97.1)	48 (32.9)	
University	176 (78.6)	48 (21.4)	

The proportion of unexplained medical symptoms was 27.1% in our study. This figure is 24.4% in general medicine practice in the United States⁴¹, 32% in general medical outpatient care in Pakistan⁴², and as much as 52% in the medical outpatient services in Great Britain⁴³. In our study, the psychiatric diagnosis and the BDI score in patients having unexplained medical symptoms were significantly high. This is compatible with the literature. In many studies, a psychiatric diagnosis is two times more likely in the presence of unexplained symptoms^{10,41,42,44}.

Conclusion

This study suggests that a significant proportion of people seeking medical attention at medical outpatient clinics in Turkey, as in other nations, have a psychiatric disorder. It can also be noted that patients comprising up the group having unexplained medical symptoms are at potential risk for having or developing a psychiatric disorder.

References

1. Oral ET, Kiyak M, Temis S, et al. Birinci basamakta ruhsal bozuklukların tanı ve tedavisi: ICD-10 Temel Sağlık Hizmetleri Formu oluşturma çalışmaları. IV. Ulusal Halk Sağlığı Kongresi Bildiri Kitabı 1994;658-61
2. Bulletin of the World Health Organization. Cross-national comparisons of the prevalences and correlates of mental disorders: WHO International Consortium in Psychiatric Epidemiology 2000;78:413-26
3. Penayo U, Kullgren G, Caldera T. Mental disorders among primary health care patients in Nicaragua. Acta Psychiatr Scand 1990;82:82-5
4. Rezaki MS, Özgen G, Kaplan İ, et al. Results from the Ankara centre. In: Mental Illness in General Health Care: An International Study. Üstün TB, Sartorius N (editors). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons: 39-55

Table 4. Correlation of depression scores with medical diagnosis, gender and education

Beck Depression Score	n	Mean ± SD	P
Medical diagnosis			t=2.186
Negative (unexplained)	190	13.06 ± 8.09	P=0.02
Positive	510	11.50 ± 8.49	
Gender			t=4.650
Women	556	12.67 ± 8.52	P<0.001
Men	144	9.06 ± 7.30	
Education			F=7.960
Literate	47	15.76 ± 10.84	P<0.001
Primary	222	13.08 ± 8.75	
Intermediate level	61	12.96 ± 9.51	
High school	146	11.93 ± 7.78	
University	224	9.70 ± 7.14	

5. Parker T, May PA, Maviglia MA, et al. PRIME-MD: its utility in detecting mental disorders in American Indians. Int J Psychiatry Med 1997;27:107-28
6. Anseau M, Dierick M, Buntinx F, et al. High prevalence of mental disorders in primary care. J Affect Disord. 2004;78:49-55
7. Vazquez-Barquero JL, Garcia J, et al. Mental health in primary care: an epidemiological study of morbidity and use of health resources. Br J Psychiatry 1997;170:529-35
8. Spitzer RL, Kroenke K, Williams JB. Validation and utility of a self-report version of PRIME-MD: the PHQ primary care study. Primary Care Evaluation of Mental Disorders. Patient Health Questionnaire. JAMA 1999;10;282:1737-44
9. Lobo A, Perez-Echeverria J, Artal J, et al. Psychiatric morbidity among medical out-patients in Spain: A case for new methods of classification. J Psychosom Res 1988;32:355-64
10. Van Hemert AM, Hengeveld MW, Bolk JH, et al. Psychiatric disorders in relation to medical illness among patients of a general medical outpatient clinic. Psychol Med 1993;23:167-73
11. Özdemir O, Ocaktan E, Akdur R. Sağlık reformu sürecinde Türkiye ve Avrupada birinci basamak sağlık hizmetlerinin değerlendirilmesi. Ankara Üniversitesi Tıp Fakültesi Mecmuası 2003;56:207-16
12. Rezaki M. Bir sağlık ocağına başvuran hastalarda depresyon. Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi 1995;6:13-20
13. Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, et al. An inventory for measuring depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry 1961;4:561-71
14. Hisli N. Reliability and validity of Beck Depression Inventory among university students. J Turk Psychol 1989;7:3-13
15. Spitzer RL, Williams JBW, Kroenke K, et al. The PRIME-MD 1000 Study: Utility, of a new procedure for diagnosing mental disorders in primary care. JAMA 1994;272:1749-56
16. Kroenke K, Spitzer RL, Williams JBW. The PHQ-9 validity of a brief depression severity measure. Journal of General Internal Medicine 2001;16:606-13
17. Henkel V, Mergl R, Kohnen R, et al. Identifying depression in primary care: a comparison of different methods in a prospective cohort study. British Medical Journal 2003;326:200-1
18. Diez-Quevedo C, Rangil T, Sanchez-Planell L, et al. Validation and utility of the patient health questionnaire in diagnosing mental disorders in 1003 general hospital Spanish inpatients. Psychosomatic Medicine 2001;63:679-86
19. Löwe B, Graefe K, Kroenke K, et al. Predictors of psychiatric comorbidity in medical outpatients. Psychosomatic Medicine 2003;65:764-70
20. Çorapçıoğlu A, Uzuner Özer G. Adaptation of revised Brief PHQ (Brief-PHQ-r) for diagnosis of depression, panic disorder and somatoform disorder in primary healthcare settings. Int J Psychiatr Clin Prac 2004;8:11-8

21. American Psychiatric Association. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th edition. Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association, 1994
22. Mulrow CD, Williams JW, Gerety MB, et al. Case finding instruments for depression in primary care settings. *Ann Intern Med* 1995;122:913-21
23. Whooley MA, Avins AL, Miranda J. Case finding instruments for depression. *J Gen Intern Med* 1997;12:439-45
24. Çorapçioğlu A, Koroğlu E, Ceyhun B, et al. Birinci basamak sağlık hizmetlerinde psikiyatrik tanı koydurucu bir ölçeğin (Prime-MD) Türkçeye uyarlanması. *Nöropsikiyatri Gündemi* 1996;1:3-10
25. Kroenke K, Jackson JL, Chamberlin J. Depressive and anxiety disorders in patients presenting with physical complaints. *Am J Med* 1997;103:339-47
26. Çorapçioğlu A. Bir medikososyal merkeze genel sağlık sorunları ile başvuran öğrencilerden psikiyatrik sorunları olanlarda bazı sosyodemografik özellikler. *Türk Psikiyatri Dergisi* 1999;10:115-22
27. Ateşçi FÇ, Karadağ F, Oğuzhanoglu NK. Bir üniversite hastanesinde yatan hastalarda psikiyatrik bozukluk dağılımı. *Anadolu Psikiyatri Dergisi* 2000;1:148-56
28. Ustun TB, Sartorius N. *Mental Illness in General Health Care: An International Study*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons
29. Fink P, Jensen J, Borgquist L, et al. Psychiatric morbidity in primary public health care. A Nordic multicenter investigation. Part I: method and prevalence of psychiatric morbidity. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 1995; 92: 409-18
30. Erol N, Kılıç C, Ulusoy M, et al. Türkiye Ruh Sağlığı Profili Raporu 1998;2201:25-75
31. Kılıç C. Genel sağlık anketi: Güvenilirlik ve geçerlilik çalışması. Yayınlanmamış uzmanlık tezi, Hacettepe Üniversitesi, Ankara, 1992
32. Coyne JC, Fechner-Bates S, Schwenk TL. Prevalence, nature, and comorbidity of depressive disorders in primary care. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 1994;16:267-76
33. McQuaid JR, Stein MB, Laffaye C, et al. Depression in a primary care clinic: the prevalence and impact of an unrecognized disorder. *J Affect Disord* 1999;55:1-10
34. Wittchen HU, Hofler M, Meister W. Prevalence and recognition of depressive syndromes in German primary care settings: poorly recognized and treated? *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 2001;16:121-35
35. Olfson M, Fireman B, Weissman MM. Mental disorders and disability among patients in a primary care group practice. *Am J Psychiatry* 1997;154:1734-40
36. Leon AC, Olfson M, Broadhead WE, et al. Prevalence of mental disorders in primary care: implications for screening. *Arch Fam Med* 1995;4:857-61
37. Olfson M, Shea S, Feder A, et al. Prevalence of anxiety, depression, and substance use disorders in an urban general medicine practice. *Arch Fam Med* 2000;9:876-83
38. Diminic-Lisica I, Franciskovic T, Baricev-Novakovic Z, et al. The prevalence and detection of depressive disorders in a Croatian primary care setting. *Prim Care Comm Psychiatr* 2005;10:19-28
39. Philbrick JT, Connelly JE, Wofford AB. The prevalence of mental disorders in rural office practice. *J Gen Intern Med* 1996;11:9-15
40. Toft T, Fink P, Oernboel E, et al. Mental disorders in primary care: prevalence and co-morbidity among disorders, results from the functional illness in primary care (FIP) study. *Psychol Med* 2005;35:1175-84
41. Feder A, Olfson M, Gameraoff M, et al. Medically unexplained symptoms in an urban general medicine practice. *Psychosomatics* 2001; 42:261-8
42. Husain N, Chaudhry I, Afsar S, et al. Psychological distress among patients attending a general medical outpatient clinic in Pakistan. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* 2004; 26:277-81
43. Nimmuan C, Hotopf M, Wessely S. Medically unexplained symptoms an epidemiological study in seven specialities. *J Psychosom Res* 2001;51:361-7
44. Royal College of Physicians/Royal Collage of Psychiatrists Joint Report. *The Psychological Care of Medical Patients*. 2nd ed. London: Royal College of Physicians and Royal College of Psychiatrists, 2003

CrossRef links are available in the online published version of this paper:
<http://www.librapharm.com>
Paper PCCP0152_2, Accepted for publication: 3 May 2006
Published Online: 17 May 2006
doi:10.1185/135525706X80666